

Recall: we showed
that any vector space
over any field has
a basis.

Invariance of Dimension

Definition: (dimension)

Given a vector space \mathcal{V} over a field \mathbb{F} and a basis B for \mathcal{V} over \mathbb{F} , define the dimension of \mathcal{V} over \mathbb{F} to be the cardinality of B .

Example 1 :

The dimension of \mathbb{F}^n

over \mathbb{F} is n since placing $1_{\mathbb{F}}$ in the i^{th} position and $0_{\mathbb{F}}$ in all other positions gives a linearly independent and spanning set.

Example 2:

The dimension of \mathbb{C}

over \mathbb{C} is 1, but

the dimension of \mathbb{C}

over \mathbb{R} is two

since a basis is

{1, i }.

Example 3:

The dimension of the space of all polynomials with real coefficients (over \mathbb{R}) is countably infinite since a basis is given by

$$\left\{ x^n \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}.$$

Example 4:

The dimension of \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} is one,

but the dimension of \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} is infinite - in fact it is uncountably infinite!

For example,

$\{\pi^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q}

since if $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$$

not all zero with

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \pi^k = 0, \text{ then}$$

π is a zero of
 $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x^k$.

$\forall k, \exists a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z},$

$b_k \neq 0,$ with

$\alpha_k = \frac{a_k}{b_k}.$ Then

multiplying $P(x)$ by

$b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_k,$ we clear

denominators and obtain

a polynomial $q(x).$

Now since $p(\pi) = 0$,

$$q(\pi) = (b_1 - b_2 + \dots + b_n)p(\pi)$$

$$= 0, \text{ and so}$$

π would be a root

of a polynomial with
integer coefficients. But

π is transcendental,

so it is not the root

of any such polynomial,

contradiction.

Note that a basis
for \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} cannot
be countable since
if $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ were such
a basis, let

$$Q_k = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{Q}, x = \sum_{n=1}^k d_n f_n \right\}$$

Then each Q_k is countable
and we obtain

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$$

Since we can write
every element in \mathbb{R}
as a finite linear
combination of the f_n 's.

But a countable union
of countable sets is
countable and \mathbb{R} is
uncountable, contradiction.

Q: Why is this well-defined?

i.e. how do we know,

given any two bases

of V over F , say

B_1 and B_2 , that

$$|B_1| = |B_2| ?$$

Ingredients for the Proof:

1) Axiom of Choice

(equivalent to the

Hausdorff Maximality

Principle): Given

any collection of sets

$(X_i)_{i \in I}$, \exists a function

$f : \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$

(" \bigsqcup " = disjoint union)

Such that

$$f(X_i) = y_i \in X_i$$

$\forall i \in I$, i.e.,

f picks out a
single element from
each set.

2) Schröder-Bernstein:

Given two sets X and Y ,

if \exists injections

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ and

$g: Y \rightarrow X$, then

\exists a bijection $h: X \rightarrow Y$,

so $|X| = |Y|$.

(HW #3)

Lemma: Let S be any set and suppose that $|S|$ is infinite.

Then if we decompose

$$S = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} S_i \text{ with}$$

$S_i \subseteq S \wedge i \in I$ and each S_i is finite,

then $|S| = |I|$.

Proof: extra credit)

I only want to
see complete
solutions.

Proof that any two
bases have the same
Cardinality

(Jacobson, "Lectures
in Abstract Algebra II")

Let $B_1 = \{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and
 $B_2 = \{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ be
two basis for V over F .

For each e_i ,

$\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$

and $f_{j_1}, f_{j_2}, \dots, f_{j_n} \in B_2$

with $e_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f_{j_k}$

(since $B_2 = \{f_j\}_{j \in J}$

is a basis)

Claim: If $j \in \bar{J}$, then

$\exists i \in I$ such that

f_j occurs as a nonzero term in the expansion

of e_i in the basis

B_2 .

Proof by contradiction:

Suppose f_j does not appear in any expansion of the e_i 's.

Since $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis, we can find scalars $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in F$ and $e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{im} \in B_1$ such that

$$f_j = \sum_{t=1}^m \beta_t e_{i_t}.$$

But for each i_t we can expand

e_{i_t} as a linear combination of elements

in B_2 , none of which are equal to f_j by assumption.

But then f_j is
a linear combination
of elements in B_2 ,
none of which are
equal to f_j . This
contradicts linear
independence of B_2 ,
so $\forall j \in J$, f_j occurs
in the expansion of
some e_i .

Define $\varphi : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$

by $\varphi(f_j) = e_{i(j)}$

where f_j occurs in
the expansion of
 $e_{i(j)}$ (axiom of choice).

Since each f_j occurs in
the expansion of some e_i ,
this definition is not
vacuous.

The preimage $\varphi^{-1}(e_{i(j)})$ consists of a subset of the vectors in the expansion of $e_{i(j)}$. These are disjoint subsets of B_2 which are each finite (any expansion of $e_{i(j)}$ is finite).

Moreover, since ϕ is defined on all of B_2 , the union of all preimages is equal to B_1 . By selecting a single element in each preimage (axiom of choice), we get an injection from

a subset of B_1 , to
the disjoint union. But
by the lemma, the
cardinality of the
union is equal to
the cardinality of B_2 ,
so we have an injection
 $\varphi: B_2 \rightarrow B_1$.

Reversing the roles of

B_1 and B_2 , we

obtain an injection

$$\psi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$$

Then by Schröder-Bernstein,

$$|B_1| = |B_2|. \text{ Hence,}$$

dimension is well-defined!

